Email 09 of 35

Fw: Opposing MOD Bicester Site A (case ref: CM19101)

Source file	Fw Opposing MOD Bicester Site A (case ref CM19101).msg
From	[redacted]
To	Ministerial Correspondence

You don't often get email from [redacted]. Learn why this is important <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>

Dear Secretary of State,

Further to my email below dated 18th July, I am extremely disappointed not to have received ANY response from you, not even a simple courteous acknowledgment of receipt.

Our local MP (Calum Miller) has made multiple requests for meetings with people from the relevant government department regarding this matter. Sadly, he is also being ignored.

The local residents have held multiple gatherings (and will continue to do so), to protest against this grotesque application, to convert site A at MoD Bicester for use as an asylum centre. In particular, women and girls are extremely concerned at the prospect of having 1,250 unknown, illegal immigrants located at this remote facility, which sites close to three small villages.

I would very much appreciate receiving acknowledgement from you, and hopefully our MP will also receive the courtesy of communication from you, or an appropriate person from your department.

Yours sincerely
[redacted]

Sent from the all-new AOL app for iOS <https://gbr01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Faolapp.onelink.me%2FeG2g%3Fpid%3DNativePlacement%26c%3DUS_Acquisition_YMktg_320_EmailSignature_AttributionDL%26af_sub1%3DAcquisition%26af_sub2%3DUS_YMktg%26af_sub3%3D%26af_sub4%3D100002473%26af_sub5%3DSentFromNewAOLApp__Interstitial_%26af_ios_store_cpp%3Dce85ce34-ad0f-4811-a92b-a172743b064e%26af_android_url%3Dhttps%253A%252F%252Fplay.google.com%252Fstore%252Fapps%252Fdetails%253Fid%253Dcom.aol.mobile.aolapp%2526listing%253Demail_signature_attribution&data=05%7C02%7Cministerial.correspondence%40communities.gov.uk%7C1461227250e44efd2b7908def7dfec95%7Cbf3468109c7d43dea87224a2ef3995a8%7C0%7C0%7C639220738293483666%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJFbXB0eU1hcGkiOnRydWUsIlYiOiIwLjAuMDAwMCIsIlAiOiJXaW4zMiIsIkFOIjoiTWFpbCIsIldUIjoyfQ%3D%3D%7C0%7C%7C%7C&sdata=q0FrmePZHfgiFmT23sxmvA6qUnZBd16JtFYzG8%2FLm48%3D&reserved=0>

Begin forwarded message:

On Saturday, July 18, 2026, 10:28 am, [redacted] wrote:

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a local resident to oppose the use of the urgent planning process for the proposal to accommodate up to 1,250 single adult male asylum seekers at Site A, MOD Bicester.

On 9 July, my MP, Calum Miller, was informed by a Defence Minister that the Home Office first approached the MOD about the use of this site in November 2025.

You will be aware that the use of the site for such a huge asylum camp is extremely controversial – and for a long list of reasons, is widely opposed – locally. It is very important that local people – and our local representatives in the councils, health board, police and other services – are given sufficient information and time to make an intelligent response.

It is clearly unreasonable for this to be considered “urgent”. The Government cannot consider it for nine months and then give local residents a matter of days to contribute to a consultation.

Can I therefore urge you to withdraw consent for this to be treated as an urgent application and instead, insist that the usual planning process is followed, so local voices can be heard about a proposal that will affect us far more than any Secretary of State in London?

Site A at MOD Bicester really is not a suitable location for use as an asylum holding facility for what is said to be around 1,250 young men, who will be free to come and go from the centre when they like. Nobody knows who these individuals are, and if they have criminal records. They clearly come from a diverse range of cultures, most of which are very different from our own. Sadly, we do know, that a growing number of women and girls have been targeted by immigrants and so it is quite understandable that local residents are highly concerned for their safety if this centre was to go ahead. I also find it baffling that this (or any) government, can consider such a plan when the first priority of any government is to protect its citizens.

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]